IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UN	IITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
	Plaintiff,	Case Number 8:12MJ84
	vs.)) DETENTION ORDER)
ED	GAR JAVIER VELAZQUEZ-CORRALES	,
	Defendant.	
A.	Order For Detention After the defendant waived a detention the Bail Reform Act, the Court orders the pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e) and (i).	hearing pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) of e above-named defendant detained
B.	The Court orders the defendant's detent X By a preponderance of the evider conditions will reasonably assure required. X By clear and convincing evidence	ion because it finds: nce that no condition or combination of the appearance of the defendant as
C.	that which was contained in the Pretrial X (1) Nature and circumstances of X (a) The crime: Conspiracy methamphetamine, concernes a maximum per carries a maximum per X (b) The offense is a crime X (c) The offense involves a	y to distribute 500 grams or more of ocaine, and marijuana is a serious crime and enalty of Life imprisonment.
	(a) General Factors: The defendar may affect wh The defendar The defendar The defendar	against the defendant is high. The second the defendant including: Interpretate the defendant will appear. The second the the defendant will appear. The second the

DETENTION ORDER - Page 2

		community. The defendant does not have any significant community ties.
		Past conduct of the defendant:
	-	- det conduct of the defendant.
(I)	 b) At the ti	The defendant has a history relating to drug abuse. The defendant has a history relating to alcohol abuse. The defendant has a significant prior criminal record. The defendant has a prior record of failure to appear at court proceedings. me of the current arrest, the defendant was on: Probation
		Parole Supervised Release Release pending trial, sentence, appeal or completion of sentence.
((c) Other FX	The defendant is an illegal alien and is subject to deportation. The defendant is a legal alien and will be subject to deportation if convicted.
	-	Other.
, ,	e nature and ease are as	d seriousness of the danger posed by the defendant's follows:
Ìn d	letermining	that the defendant should be detained, the Court also
In d relie	letermining ed on the fo	that the defendant should be detained, the Court also bllowing rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C.
In d relie § 3°	letermining ed on the fo 142(e) whic	that the defendant should be detained, the Court also
In d relie § 3°	letermining ed on the fo 142(e) whic a) That no assure t	that the defendant should be detained, the Court also bllowing rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. the the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: condition or combination of conditions will reasonably the appearance of the defendant as required and the
In d relie § 3°	letermining ed on the fo 142(e) whic a) That no assure t safety o	that the defendant should be detained, the Court also ollowing rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. the the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: condition or combination of conditions will reasonably the appearance of the defendant as required and the fany other person and the community because the Court
In d relie § 3	letermining ed on the fo 142(e) whic a) That no assure t safety o	that the defendant should be detained, the Court also bllowing rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. the the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: condition or combination of conditions will reasonably the appearance of the defendant as required and the
In d relie § 3	letermining ed on the fo 142(e) whic a) That no assure t safety o	that the defendant should be detained, the Court also ollowing rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. In the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: condition or combination of conditions will reasonably the appearance of the defendant as required and the if any other person and the community because the Court at the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or (2) An offense for which the maximum penalty is life
In d relie § 3	letermining ed on the fo 142(e) whice a) That no assure to safety of finds tha	that the defendant should be detained, the Court also ollowing rebuttable presumption(s) contained in 18 U.S.C. In the Court finds the defendant has not rebutted: condition or combination of conditions will reasonably the appearance of the defendant as required and the if any other person and the community because the Court at the crime involves: (1) A crime of violence; or

DETENTION ORDER - Page 3

two or more prior offenses described in (1) through (3) above, <u>and</u> the defendant has a prior conviction for one of the crimes mentioned in (1) through (3) above which is less than five years old and which was committed while the defendant was on pretrial release.

X (b) That no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because the Court finds that there is probable cause to believe:

 X (1) That the defendant has committed a controlled substance violation which has a maximum penalty of 10 years or more.
 Y That the defendant has committed an offense under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) (uses or carries a firearm during and in relation to any crime of violence, including a crime of violence, which provides for an enhanced punishment if committed by the use of a deadly or dangerous weapon or device).

D. Additional Directives

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)(2)-(4), the Court directs that:

- The defendant be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal; and
- 2. The defendant be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel; and
- 3. That, on order of a court of the United States, or on request of an attorney for the government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

DATED this 2nd day of April, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/ F. A. Gossett United States Magistrate Judge